



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/014,422		01/27/1998	MASAKI IWAMOTO	1344.1001/JD	4490	
21171	7590	05/07/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & SUITE 700	HALSE	Y LLP	HUYNH, CONG LAC T			
	1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	ron, do	20005		2178 23		

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		_	1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6
_	09/014,422	IWAMOTO ET AL.	7
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		3
Disposition of Claims			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	drawn from consideration. d. d/or election requirement. niner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	nents have been received. The sents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8/08) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: appeal brief filed 2/20/03 to the application filed on 01/27/98.

- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-26 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 12, 23 are independent claims.
- 3. The rejections of claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Microsoft Excel* 97 in view of Krawchuk have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Microsoft Excel 97* (hereinafter referred as Excel 97), Microsoft Corporation, 1997, pages 1-11 in view of Wallack (US Pat No. 6,055,550, 4/25/00, filed 3/21/96).

Regarding independent claim 1, Excel 97 discloses (on page 1):

-- the cross tabulation in which the data which is set a range to be displayed is summed up, the data selected which is extracted from a database is cross summed up (the sum

Art Unit: 2178

of East row, West row, North row, South row, and the sum of January, February, March instead of summing from January to December in the database)

- -- the cells selected among the cells to constitute the cross tabulation which includes the data extracted from a database (the cells in each row or each column)
- -- the graph for displaying the data extracted from a database within the range, here the range is from A1 to D4 (the graph for corresponding sampled data)

Excel 97 does not disclose automatically extracting a random sampling of data from a database.

Wallack discloses automatically extracting a random sampling of data from a database (col 3, lines 10-67, figure 2, abstract, col 1, lines 24-40: selecting a random sampling of records of fields in a form for auto resizing the selected group of cells by calculating an appropriate size for the selected group of cells based on the sizes of the cells; col 4, lines 1-14, figure 1, col 5, line 64 to col 6, line 27, figure 3B: data for selecting as random sampling can be from a remote data source, the sample record data can be text or numbers as in the spreadsheet figure 1, user may request a random sampling of records). Though Wallack discloses applying the random sampling of records for auto resizing the width of the selected cells in a spreadsheet, the fact that Wallack discloses that the data of the cells can be numbers as well as using the size data of the selected cells to perform calculating suggest performing the calculating on the number data of the cells in the spreadsheet.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Wallack into Excel 97 for the following reason.

Wallack discloses the benefit of performing operations on a random sampling of data of a spreadsheet providing the advantage to automatically extracting the random sampling

Art Unit: 2178

of data for carrying out operations on a spreadsheet, which is faster than extracting data from a database manually by users for performing calculating in spreadsheet.

Regarding claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Excel 97 discloses the *selected* range (which is limited) of data that is used to draw the corresponding graph (page 2).

Regarding claim 3, which is dependent on claim 2, Excel 97 discloses the cross tabulation to cross sum the data by:

- -- selecting of cells in the row East, selecting the AutoSum icon to sum up the numbers in the cells of the East row (page 3), and repeating for rows West, North and South;
- -- selecting of *cells which include data extracted from a database* in the column January, selecting of the AutoSum to sum up the numbers in the cells of the January column (page 4), and repeating for columns February, March, and Total.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Excel 97 discloses the rearranging of data according to a predetermined condition as "sort descending" by selecting the range A2 to D5 and selecting the Sort Descending icon. The data changed which is extracted from a database in the descending order (sequence East-West-North-South now changes to West-South-North-East) is summed up in the cross tabulation (pages 5 and 6).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Excel 97 discloses the graph for the range selected from A1 to D4, which *includes data extracted from a database* (page 7 and the first graph in page 8). When selecting the new item South, the graph displayed is added the data for South (page 8, the second graph).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding claim 8, the data analyzed is a collection of a number of data items *extracted* from a database (page 9, the highlighted data).

Page 5

Regarding claims 10-11, Excel 97 discloses the graph of extracted data in which data item displayed as an axis based on the data record (page 11; page 10; page 8, the first graph).

Claims 12, 14-19, 21-22 are mediums for the apparatus of claims 1-11, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 23-26 include the limitations of claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 respectively, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10-19, 21-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue that the fact that Krawchuk teaches "to allow random selection within the file" does not disclose automatically extracting a random sampling of data from a database since the "random selection" of data within a file is used to describe the selection of Bricks in non-sequential order, rather than the random sampling of data retrieved from the database in the present claimed invention (Remarks, pages 5-6). Examiner agrees.

Krawchuk is withdrawn from the rejections.

Art Unit: 2178

Wallack discloses extracting a random sampling of data for a spreadsheet from a data source for performing some calculations where the data for random sampling can be numbers (figures 1-2, col 1, lines 24-40, col 3, lines 10-67 as mentioned above).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have combined Wallack into Excel 97 since Wallack discloses the benefit of performing operations on a random sampling of data of a spreadsheet providing the advantage to automatically extracting the random sampling of data for carrying out operations in Excel, which is faster than extracting data from a database manually by users for performing calculating in spreadsheet.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Comer et al. (US Pat No. 5,966,716, 10/12/99, filed 7/14/98, priority 6/6/96).

Comer et al. (US Pat No. 5,819,293, 10/6/98, filed 6/6/96).

Bankes (US Pat No. 6,389,380 B1, 5/14/02, filed 9/16/98, priority 9/16/97).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-0432. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 703-308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2178

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clh 4/23/04

> STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER